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Abstract: Personality is known to be relatively stable throughout adulthood. Nevertheless, it has been shown that major life events with high personal significance, including experiences engendered by psychedelic drugs, can have an enduring impact on some core facets of personality. In the present, balanced-order, placebo-controlled study, we investigated biological predictors of post-lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) changes in personality. Nineteen healthy adults underwent resting state functional MRI scans under LSD (75 µg, I.V.) and placebo (saline I.V.). The Revised NEO Personality Inventory (NEO-PI-R) was completed at screening and 2 weeks after LSD/placebo. Scanning sessions consisted of three 7.5-min eyes-closed resting-state scans, one of which involved music listening. A standardized preprocessing pipeline was used to extract measures of sample entropy, which characterizes the predictability of an fMRI time-series. Mixed-effects models were used to evaluate drug-induced shifts in brain entropy and their relationship with the observed increases in the personality trait openness at the 2-week follow-up. Overall, LSD had a pronounced global effect on brain entropy and their relationship with the observed increases in the personality trait openness at the 2-week follow-up. Overall, LSD had a pronounced global effect on brain entropy, increasing it in both sensory and hierarchically higher networks across multiple time scales. These shifts predicted enduring increases in trait openness. Moreover, the predictive power of the entropy increases was greatest for the music-listening scans and when “ego-dissolution” was reported during the acute experience. These results shed new light on how LSD-induced shifts in brain dynamics and concomitant subjective experience can be predictive of lasting changes in personality. *Hum Brain Mapp 00:000–000, 2016. © 2016 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

After several decades of effective prohibition, researchers have recently begun to re-examine the therapeutic potential of psychedelic drugs, such as lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD). Small-scale pilot studies have evaluated the safety and efficacy of psychedelics in the treatment of a number of psychiatric conditions with promising preliminary results [Bogenschutz et al., 2015; Gasser et al., 2015; Johnson et al., 2014; Osorio Fde et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2013; Vollenweider and Kometer, 2010].

The dominant approach to psychedelic-assisted therapy involves only one or a small number of high-dose sessions that aim to evoke so-called peak [Maslow, 1964] or mystical-type experiences [Griffiths et al., 2006], characterized by dissolved ego boundaries and a concomitant sense of oneness or unity, the approach often referred as “psychedelic therapy” in the scientific literature [Chwelos et al., 1999; Grof et al., 2008]. Considerable emphasis in this framework is placed on “set” (i.e., beliefs, expectations and current psychological well-being, as in “mind-set”) and “setting” in psychedelic therapy, as it is recognized that psychological and environmental factors can be especially influential in shaping the nature of the drug experience [Fiallance and Szara, 1968]. Consistent with this principle, psychedelic therapy sessions are typically accompanied by a carefully designed music playlist, which is intended to facilitate emotional release, personal insight and the occurrence of peak experiences [Bonny and Pahnke, 1972; Grof et al., 2008; Kaelen et al., 2015].

In healthy individuals, it has been shown that even a single session with the shorter-acting LSD-like psychedelic, psilocybin, can produce lasting increases in the personality trait openness [MacLean et al., 2011]. Openness is considered to be one of the major dimensions of personality and is linked to imagination, aesthetic appreciation, novelty-seeking, nonconformity, and creativity [Costa and McCrae, 1992]. Of relevance to psychedelic therapy, the observed increases in trait openness post-psilocybin were greatest in those individuals who reported mystical-type experiences in relation to their psilocybin session. Moreover, in some participants these changes persisted for more than one year after the single acute psychedelic experience [MacLean et al., 2011], which is remarkable given that personality is thought to be relatively fixed by adulthood [Costa and McCrae, 1988].

LSD has a rich pharmacology, with agonist properties at a range of different neurotransmitter receptors. However, its affinity for the serotonin 2A receptor (5-HT2AR) is thought to largely determine its characteristic psychological and associated neurophysiological effects [Nichols and Sanders-Bush, 2004; Passie et al., 2008]. Early human electrophysiological studies observed desynchronization of cortical activity during the acute LSD-state and depth recordings detected increased amplitude of oscillations within limbic structures [Monroe and Heath, 1961; Schwarz et al., 1956]. More recent human imaging research has implicated disrupted activity and connectivity within the default mode and frontoparietal cortical regions under psychedelics [Carhart-Harris et al., 2012, Carhart-Harris et al., 2012; Kometer et al., 2015; Muthukumaraswamy et al., 2013], a wider repertoire of connectivity patterns [Roseman et al., 2014; Tagliazucchi et al., 2014], and a shift toward more random dynamics (increased entropy) within the higher-order brain networks [Tagliazucchi et al., 2014]. This desegregating or globally “unifying” effect of psychedelics has been linked with the experience of disturbed ego-boundaries [Tagliazucchi E, et al., 2016, Carhart-Harris et al., 2013] and the so-called “unitive experience” or “sense of oneness” that may be a definitive feature of mystical-type experiences [Carhart-Harris et al., 2014; Griffiths et al., 2006; MacLean et al., 2011; Stace, 1960]. These complex psychological phenomena have been described for millennia by certain spiritual movements and figureheads [The Rig Veda; Buddha-ghosa, 430 CE, 2011] and are now capturing the interest of contemporary researchers working with psychedelics [Carhart-Harris et al., 2014; Kometer et al., 2015; Lebedev et al., 2015].

Inspired by neuroimaging research with psychedelics, a testable hypothesis was recently formulated that proposed applying an information theory-based measure of uncertainty or randomness (entropy) to the phenomenology and neurophysiology of altered states of consciousness [Carhart-Harris et al., 2014]. The “entropic brain” hypothesis differentiates between the so-called secondary consciousness of mature, healthy, and awake adult humans, in which brain activity is highly organized, and the primary consciousness of certain primitive and altered states, in which brain activity is characteristically disordered and therefore entropic or unpredictable. Phenomena such as ego-dissolution and associated mystical-type experiences are hypothesized to lie toward the primary consciousness end of this entropy continuum.

While there has been a concerted effort in recent years to map out the neural correlates of the acute psychedelic state [Carhart-Harris et al., 2012; Carhart-Harris et al., 2014; Kometer et al., 2015; Lebedev et al., 2015; Muthukumaraswamy et al., 2013], much less attention has been paid to how these acute effects of psychedelics relate to more enduring psychological and behavioral changes. The present study aimed to test the hypothesis that LSD increases the entropy of brain dynamics (reduced predictability of a time-series) and that these effects have enduring consequences for personality. More specifically, we hypothesized that increased entropy within high-level brain networks (e.g., the frontoparietal, salience and default-mode networks) under LSD would positively predict subsequent increases in the personality trait openness. We also predicted that ego-dissolution reported during the LSD-state would be predictive of subsequent personality changes and that music-listening would further enhance these relationships.

METHODS

Participants

Twenty healthy subjects (aged 30.9 ± 7.8 years, 15 males) recruited via word-of-mouth were included in the study.
Informed consent was obtained from all subjects prior to enrolment. Standardized physical and psychiatric examinations, electro-physiological, blood and urine tests for drugs of abuse, and pregnancy were also carried out at screening.

Inclusion criteria were: age 21+ years, no personal or immediate family history of major psychiatric disorders, no cardiovascular disease, and no history of a significant adverse response to psychedelics and negative pregnancy and drug tests. All of the subjects had used classic psychedelics at least once before but not within 2 weeks of their first study day (Supporting Information Table S1).

The MRI part of the study was not completed by one subject who requested the scanning session to be stopped prematurely due to transient but nevertheless significant anxiety. His behavioral data, however, was collected and the pattern of personality change was consistent with what was observed at the group level. Thus, the personality-related effects reported below include this subject.

### Study Design and Procedures

Two scanning sessions with either 75 μg of LSD or placebo (each given intravenously over two minutes) were scheduled for each participant, in a counter-balanced order, with an interval of at least two weeks between each session (Supporting Information Figure S1). For each session, three 7.5-min BOLD resting-state fMRI scans were acquired (lasting 25 min, in total): resting state 1 (no music), resting state 2 (music), and resting state 3 (no music). For the first and third states, the subjects were instructed to rest quietly and keep their eyes closed. Instructions were the same for the second state, except that the subjects were informed that they would listen to ambient music played through headphones. Two tracks from the album “Yearning” by Robert Rich and Lisa Moskow were selected in an independent sample to be balanced for emotional potency and were presented in a counter-balanced order. The infusion (drug/placebo) was administered over 2 min and occurred 115 min before the resting-state scans were initiated. Imaging data were acquired on a 3-Tesla MRI scanner (GE Signa HDx) with a standardized protocol described in Supporting Information (Note 1).

### Personality Assessment and In-Scanner Subjective Measures

The standard 240-item revised five-factor personality inventory (NEO-PI-R) [McCrae and Costa, 2010] was administered at baseline and 2 weeks after the LSD/placebo session to evaluate changes in personality (Supporting Information Figure S1). A visual analogue scale assessing the intensity of ego-dissolution (“I experienced a disintegration of my sense of self or ego”). Lower anchor = “not at all”; highest anchor = “most imaginable”) was completed inside the scanner immediately after each scan. This measure was strongly correlated with scores on the 11-factor altered states of consciousness questionnaire [Studerus et al., 2010] related to mystical quality of the experiences (unity, spiritual experience, blissful state, and changed meaning of percepts) administered after the scanning session had been completed (Supporting Information Note 2).

### Ethics

This study was approved by the National Research Ethics Service Committee London–West London and was conducted in accordance with the revised declaration of Helsinki [2000], the International Committee on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines and National Health Service Research Governance Framework. Imperial College London sponsored the research, which was conducted under a Home Office license for research with schedule 1 drugs.

### Image Preprocessing

A standardized pipeline based on “SPM12” (Wellcome Trust Center for Neuroimaging, UCL) was used using the Data Processing Assistant for Resting-State fMRI; Advanced Edition (DPARSFA, version 3.2) [Chao-Gan and Yu-Feng, 2010]. installed in the MATLAB R2013a environment. For each subject, echo-planar images subsequently underwent steps for slice-timing correction, spatial realignment, and registration to standardized MNI space carried out with a population template generated from the T1 structural images using the Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration Through Exponentiated Lie Algebra algorithm [Ashburner, 2007]. Spurious variance was reduced by regressing-out signal from white matter, cerebrospinal fluid, and by voxel-specific motion correction [Satterthwaite et al., 2013]. Next, the images were detrended and band-pass filtered (0.01-0.1 Hz) to eliminate biologically non-relevant signals and the resulting output was used to calculate sample entropy [Liu et al., 2013; Richman and Moorman, 2000] at the voxel-level, as implemented in the “complexity” toolbox (LOFT Lab).

Sample entropy is formally defined as the negative logarithm of the conditional probability that if two sets of simultaneous data points (vector pairs) with length $m$ meet similarity criterion (having distance $< r$) then vector pairs with length $m + 1$ will also have distance $< r$. Practically, it measures the complexity of a signal and is negatively related to the predictability of a time-series, that is, the greater a signal’s sample entropy, the lower is its predictability [Richman and Moorman, 2000]. See Supporting Information for illustration of the measure (Supporting Information Note 3, Figure S2). The entropy maps were parcelated with Yeo’s 17-networks functional scheme [Yeo et al., 2011] and used in the subsequent statistical analyses. For the voxel-based part, entropy maps were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel, full width at half maximum of 6 mm.

In order to evaluate robustness of the effects, we used a multi-scale version of sample entropy [Costa et al., 2002], calculating the measure across time scales from 1 to 5
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### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out using R programming
language, version 3.2.2 [R Development Core Team, 2015]
with “nlme” package used for mixed-effect modeling [Pin-
heiro et al., 2016]. Voxel-wise contrast estimations were
accomplished after the ROI-based analyses using SPM12.
For the voxel-wise part, a family-wise correction for multiple
comparisons was carried out with a cluster-wise method of
Monte Carlo as implemented in the AlphaSim algorithm
[Ward, 2000] with 5,000 synthesized Gaussian noise simula-
tions (initial cluster-forming threshold of $P < 0.005$).

A direct comparison of brain dynamics under LSD and
placebo was then performed using mixed-effects modeling
(random effect: subjects). Additional covariates included
motion and state-by-drug interaction (both linear and
quadratic terms). There were minor sound problems for
four subjects, specifically a disconnection of a headphone
contact on one side during music scan. Therefore, an addi-
tional two-level nuisance factor was introduced to the
models, specifying whether a subject experienced any
sound problems during the session. Post hoc evaluation
revealed that this nuisance factor only slightly improved
the model fit but generally had little impact on the results.

A second block of analyses addressed LSD-induced
entropy increases relative to placebo as predictors of per-
sonality trait openness evaluated 2 weeks after the LSD ses-
session relative to screening scores. This was done using
mixed-effects modeling of these relationships (ΔEntropy –
ΔPersonality) and a state-by-change interaction with music
listening (ΔEntropy – ΔPersonality × State).

In the next set of analyses, we introduced the in-scanner
measures of ego-dissolution as an additional variable and
estimated a full four-way interaction effect: ΔEntropy –
ΔPersonality × State × Ego-dissolution. This enabled us to
address the questions of whether increases in openness were
larger in those who reported greater ego-dissolution and
also showed more prominent increases in entropy, and
whether such effects interacted with music-listening.

An additional set of analyses, evaluated stability of the
results after taking into account effects of drug administra-
tion order and the impact of previous psychedelic expe-
rience on the main contrasts. For the latter part, we
calculated two composite scores, defined as the first principal
components extracted from total number of experiences with different psychedelics (LSD, Psilocybin, DMT,
Salvia Divinorum, Ketamine, and MDMA) and days
passed since last intake of these drugs.

Prior to the analyses, normality of distributions was con-
firmed for all continuous measures (except for the voxel-
wise entropy measures) using Shapiro-Wilk tests. For the

### RESULTS

#### Direct Effects of LSD on Sample Entropy

Overall, LSD’s effect on brain entropy was well spatially
distributed (global cortical effect: $T = 4.34$, $P < 0.001$), sig-
nificantly affecting 11 out of 17 functional systems, includ-
ing primary and secondary sensory and associative
networks as well as hierarchically higher divisions (Fig. 1
and Table I). Voxel-wise analysis yielded consistent results
with FWE-corrected clusters of entropy increases located in
the frontoparietal, medial occipital, posterior and dorsal
cingulate regions (Fig. 2).

Further analysis of multi-scale entropy revealed that the
effects are present mostly within the first three scales and

**Figure 1.**

LSD-induced entropy increases (17-Network parcellation: LSD vs.
Placebo). LEFT: Black frames with numbers represent networks
with significant sample entropy increases seen under LSD. RIGHT:
Networks with significant entropy increases are colored in red. Net-
works: 1 - Secondary Visual; 2 - Primary Visual; 3 - Superior Sensori-
motor; 4 - Inferior Sensorimotor; 5 - Superior Parietal; 6 - Posterior
Sensorimotor; 7 - Posterior Saliency; 8 - Anterior Saliency; 9 - Ante-
rior MTL; 10 - Orbitofrontal; 11 - Precuneus; 12 - Inferior Frontopa-
rietial; 13 - Superior Frontoparietal; 14 - Auditory; 15 - Hippocampal;
16 - Default Mode; 17 - Frontotemporal. [Color figure can be viewed
in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
are not significant at scales 4 and 5 (Fig. 3). Post hoc exploration revealed some network-specific effects of LSD on sample entropy at higher time scales, which, however, were beyond the scope of the present article (For details, see Supporting Information Figure S3, Note 4).

**Personality Changes**

A comprehensive description of the psychological data acquired in this study is reported in a separate paper [Carhart-Harris et al., 2016]. Among all evaluated traits, we focused on changes in trait openness after LSD, which were significant in the present study ($T = 1.95[19]$, $P = 0.03$, Cohen’s $d = 0.16$) and previously found to be affected by psychedelics [MacLean et al., 2011]. No significant changes in openness were observed post-placebo. The assessment of additional traits was performed post hoc as an exploratory part of the study and can be found in Supporting Information (Supporting Information Notes 5-6, Tables S3-5).

**Acute Shifts in Brain Dynamics as a Predictor of Changes in Openness**

The LSD-induced increases in brain entropy showed correlations with subsequent increases in trait openness. Global entropy increases predicted changes in openness ($T = 2.3$, $P = 0.035$) with the change-by-state interaction term also being significant ($T = 3.83$, $P = 0.0005$), meaning that music enhanced the predictive value of the relationship between increased entropy and subsequent increases in openness (Fig. 4). At the network level, both direct effects of the drug on entropy (i.e., independent of “state”) and change-by-state interactions (i.e., mediated by music) were predictive of subsequent increases in openness with both sensory and higher cognitive networks being involved in these effects (Table II and Supporting Information Figure S4).

N.B. A suspected outlier was identified during the analysis of openness, that is, one subject showed an anomalous decrease in openness post-LSD. The reported results were therefore re-evaluated using non-parametric tests (Spearman rank correlation) and these yielded consistent results. See Supporting Information, Table S2 for details.

**Interaction with In-Scanner Measures of Ego-Dissolution**

A four-way interaction for openness ($\Delta$Entropy - $\Delta$Openness × State × Ego-dissolution; random effect: “subjects”) was significant for the orbitofrontal (N10; $T = 2.07[27]$, $P = 0.048$) and superior frontoparietal (N13; 0.01).
LSD-induced increases in sample entropy: voxel-wise analysis

![Brain images]

**Figure 2.**
LSD-induced entropy increases (Voxel-wise analysis: LSD vs. Placebo). $P_{FWE}<0.05$: Family-wise correction for multiple comparisons by 5,000 Monte Carlo simulations with initial cluster-forming threshold of $P<0.005$. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

$T = 2.36[27]$, $P = 0.026$) networks. This means that those who had the highest enhancement of ego-dissolution during and after music-listening and the most prominent increases in brain entropy in those compartments were also those who demonstrated the greatest increases in openness. This was a network-specific effect, however, as it did not reach significance at the global level: $T = 1.71[27]$, $P = 0.099$.

**Effects of Drug Order and Previous Psychedelic Experience**

Introducing drug order (i.e., whether participants received LSD in their first or second scanning session) into the models did not eliminate the above-described effects on openness. This was also true for both composite scores of previous psychedelic experience See Supporting Information for related post hoc analyses (Supporting Information Notes 6-7).

**DISCUSSION**

In line with the entropic brain hypothesis [Carhart-Harris et al., 2014], exposure to LSD was associated with prominent increases in brain entropy affecting both sensory and higher-order networks. These effects were observed mainly within short time scales, possibly indicating shifts in complexity toward randomness [Yang et al., 2015]. These changes in brain dynamics were predictive of subsequent increases in trait openness measured 2 weeks later, and this relationship was especially strong for the music and post-music scans. This is one of the first fMRI studies of LSD and the first to identify a biological predictor of subsequent changes in personality [Griffiths et al., 2008, 2006; MacLean et al., 2011].

Based on the present study’s findings and those that have preceded it, there are reasons to believe that classic psychedelics alter brain dynamics in a way that promotes lasting psychological changes. For example, LSD and other psychedelics have been found to enhance associative learning [Harvey, 2003; Romano et al., 2010], cognitive performance [Jensen et al., 2013], extinction of conditioned fear in rodents [Catlow et al., 2013], and creativity [Frecska et al., 2012] in humans. Furthermore, psychedelic drugs are currently showing promise in the treatment of drug addiction.

**LSD-induced increases in cortical multi-scale entropy**

![Graph of LSD-induced entropy increases across multiple time scales]

**Figure 3.**
LSD-induced increases in sample entropy across multiple time scales: global (cortical) effect. The plot shows effects for all 3 states combined. The bars represent standard deviations. $X$-axis represents scaling factor used to yield coarse-grained time-series prior to SamEn estimation. $SampEn$ – sample entropy ($m = 2$, $r = 0.3$, scale: 1–5). ** – $P < 0.001$; * – $P < 0.05$; A segment (+0.4) of $Y$-axis was omitted for visualization purposes (due to large overall increases in sample entropy values when moving from scale 1 to 2). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
Personality traits reach maturity by adulthood and thereafter remain relatively stable until old age. However, it has been shown that major life events can have a significant impact on personality [Jeronimus et al., 2013; Lai et al., 2007; Tedeschi and Calhoun, 2004; Updegraff and Taylor, 2000]. Interestingly, in a study administering a single high-dose of psilocybin in a sample of psychedelic-naive individuals, 67% of the subjects considered their psychedelic session to be either the single most personally meaningful or among the top five most meaningful experiences of their life, comparing it, for example, to the birth of their first child [Griffiths et al., 2006]. Such sessions, especially those accompanied by peak mystical experiences, have been shown to promote sustained positive changes in attitudes and behavior [Griffiths et al., 2008; Griffiths et al., 2006], and to have a lasting impact on trait openness [MacLean et al., 2011]. Consistent effects were observed in the present study and here we extend on current knowledge by highlighting increased brain entropy as a potential cause of the sustained psychological changes.

It is well known that highly profound psychological experiences, whatever their cause, can lead individuals to question prior assumptions and change their behavior and outlook, sometimes in a fundamental and lasting way [Griffiths et al., 2008; Jeronimus et al., 2013; Lai et al., 2007; MacLean et al., 2011; Updegraff and Taylor, 2000]. In a similar way, psychedelics may serve as a kind of “existential shock” therapy [Yalom, 1980], confronting individuals with the illusory nature of their self or ego and its attachments. If handled with appropriate care, such experiences may have a unique role to play in psychotherapy, promoting insights and self-actualization, as detailed in certain schools of psychology [Jung, 1951] and religious/spiritual philosophy [The Rig Veda; Buddha-ghosa, 430 CE, 2011]. It is incumbent on modern science to study and understand these important matters.

Most, if not all of the so-called “classic” psychedelic drugs have agonist properties at the serotonin 2A receptor and it is thought that activation of this particular receptor sub-type is necessary for the occurrence of the above-described profound psychological experiences. This leads one to enquire about the functional and evolutionary significance of the serotonin 2A receptor and the physiological and psychological phenomena that can follow from its stimulation. One possibility is that 5-HT2AR stimulation initiates the kind of processes in the brain that are required for major behavioral and psychological change. One can easily conceive of conditions where such change may be evolutionarily advantageous; for example, in situations of significant stress and/or threat to life. In line with this reasoning, serotonin release is known to be greatly elevated during conditions of arousal and stress [Ruetter et al., 1997]. Stress-induced serotonin release and subsequent 5-HT2AR stimulation may explain associations between major life stress and putatively spontaneous changes in behavior and outlook. It would be interesting to probe further the hypothesis that 5-HT2AR signaling plays a role in psychological changes observed in relation to trauma, psychosis and/or putatively non-pathological existential crises. The involvement of 5-HT2AR signaling

![Cortical entropy increases as a predictor of changes in openness](image-url)
TABLE II. Increases in entropy under LSD and changes in trait openness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P_{FDR}</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>P_{FDR}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Secondary Visual</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>4.025</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Primary Visual</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.240</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>2.797</td>
<td>0.009**</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Superior Sensorimotor</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.562</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Inferior Sensorimotor</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>3.965</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Superior Parietal</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.493</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>3.056</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Posterior Sensorimotor</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.312</td>
<td>0.034*</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>2.787</td>
<td>0.009**</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Posterior Salience</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.658</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>3.226</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Anterior Salience</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.516</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>3.531</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Anterior MTL</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.408</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>1.801</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Orbitofrontal</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>2.674</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Precentral</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.939</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>1.918</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Inferior Frontoparietal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.917</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>2.421</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Superior Frontoparietal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.902</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>1.973</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Auditory</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>3.880</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>2.674</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Default Mode</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>2.015</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Frontotemporal</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.377</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>3.188</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Global (cortical) effect: ΔO: $T = 2.3$ ($P = 0.035$); ΔO × state: $T = 3.83$ ($P = 0.0005$).

Caption:
Networks showing significant effects are highlighted in bold.

T = t-value; $P = P$-value; $P_{FDR} = P$-value adjusted for multiple tests (false discovery rate);
All results are adjusted for mean frame-wise displacement (in-scanner motion) used as a covariate;
ΔO = statistics for relations between acute entropy shifts [LSD vs. Placebo] and changes in Openness [2 weeks follow-up vs. Screening];
ΔO × state = Interactions with state (Does music affect predictive power of brain entropy shifts?);
ΔO × state: interactions with state (ΔO × state) is significant.

Both effects (ΔO and ΔO × state) are significant.

in major psychological/behavioral change is supported by findings of 5-HT2AR-mediated enhancements in neural plasticity [Nichols and Sanders-Bush, 2002; Reissig et al., 2008; Vaidya et al., 1997], associative learning [Harvey, 2003; Romano et al., 2010], and cognitive performance in animals [Jensen et al., 2013], as well as previous [Griffiths et al., 2008, 2006; MacLean et al., 2011] and the present findings of major personality change in association with psychedelic drugs.

It may also be relevant that 5-HT2AR density is highest during critical periods of development [Sheline et al., 2002], suggesting its possible involvement in the mediation of normal and abnormal developmental processes. Further work is required to elaborate this potentially very important area of enquiry, especially given the current interest in 5-HT2AR antagonism as a purely pharmacotherapeutic strategy for managing certain psychiatric disorders [Carpenter et al., 2002; Marek et al., 2003]—which would be at loggerheads with the drug-assisted psychotherapeutic model touted here and elsewhere [Bogenschutz et al., 2015; Gasser et al., 2015; Grob et al., 2011; Osorio Fde et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2013; Vollenweider and Kometer, 2010] for psychedelics. Specifically, it would be interesting to further investigate brain structural and functional changes related to the so-called psychedelic afterglow [Majic et al., 2015], a period sometimes lasting several weeks after a high-dose psychedelic experiences that is typically associated with improved mood, liberation from emotional burdens and renewed resilience. It has previously been commented that psychotherapy may be especially effective during this period [Majic et al., 2015], suggesting the involvement of enhanced psychological and neurobiological plasticity.

It is important to acknowledge some limitations of the present study. Our main interpretation of the observed effect of music on brain entropy and subsequent personality change is that it had a relaxing effect on the participants, possibly increasing the likelihood of entropic brain dynamics and associated psychological phenomena. The order of the music scan was not counter-balanced, however, which precludes us from separating its influence from that of pharmacodynamics factors; although, the
subjective intensity of LSD’s effects was relatively stable across the three rest scans (See Supporting Information Note 9, Figure S5). Second, some of our participants had substantial previous experience with psychedelic drugs. The increases in openness observed in the present study were of a large magnitude however (i.e., the effects appeared not to be attenuated by past-use), and consistent with those seen previously in a relatively large sample of psychedelic-naïve participants administered psilocybin [MacLean et al., 2011]. Thus, the impact of LSD on personality in the present study was not significantly diminished by previous psychedelic use.

In summary, the present study discovered a significant relationship between acute LSD-induced changes in brain activity and subsequent changes in personality. Individuals with more entropic brain activity under LSD showed larger increases in openness in the weeks following their experience. Moreover, this relationship was enhanced when participants listened to music and experienced ego-dissolution.

These findings have implications for the development of psychedelic therapy, emphasizing the importance of music listening and the potential desirability of an “ego-dissolution” experience. It is also noteworthy that the aforementioned relationships with personality change appeared to be driven by the music and post-music scans, much more so than the pre-music scan. One might infer from this that music helped to establish the kind of (entropic) brain dynamics that are required for the occurrence of profound and potential transformative psychological experiences. Further work is required to explore and develop our understanding of the different factors (including music) that contribute to a positive therapeutic response to psychedelics and the present study is a start in this direction.

Our results also have important implications for the understanding and appreciation of the power of psychedelics to elicit major changes in outlook, well-being and personality, and stimulate additional questions about what other psychological and/or behavioral traits might be sensitive to psychedelic-induced change—for example, ones related to psychopathology [Froekjaer et al., 2010]. LSD and other psychedelics have notoriously paradoxical psychological effects [Carhart-Harris et al., 2016]; they can induce psychosis-like phenomena acutely, and yet appear to have more beneficial than detrimental effects on psychological well-being in the long-term [Hendricks et al., 2015], particularly if the experiences are mediated by therapeutic support [Bogenschutz et al., 2015; Gasser et al., 2015; Griffths et al., 2008, 2006; Johnson et al., 2008; Krebs and Johansen, 2012; MacLean et al., 2011; Osorio Fde et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2013; Vollenweider and Kometer, 2010]. The present results suggest that psychedelics’ “entropic” effect on cortical activity may be responsible for these positive psychological effects, opening the mind up to change that can be profound and lasting in nature.
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